EXHIBIT "A"

Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings Monday, March 11, 2013 (only Relevant pages Referenced in Response to OSC)

EXHIBIT "A"

		1						
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT							
2	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA - WESTERN DIVISION							
3	HONORABLE OTIS D. WRIGHT							
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE PRESIDING							
5								
6	Ingenuity 13 LLC,							
7	PLAINTIFF,							
8	VS. 2 NO. CV 12-8333 ODW							
9	John Doe, et al., DEFENDANT,							
10)							
11								
12								
13	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS							
14	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA							
15	MONDAY, MARCH 11, 2013							
16								
17								
18								
19	KATIE E. THIBODEAUX, CSR 9858 U.S. Official Court Reporter							
20	312 North Spring Street, #436 Los Angeles, California 90012							
21	5 ,							
22								
23								
24								
25								
		2						
1	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:							
2								
3	FOR RESPONDENT GIBBS:							
4	WAXLER CARNER BRODSKY LLP BY: ANDREW J. WAXLER Page 1							

```
intrigue031113 txt
  5
            -and- BARRY BRODSKÝ
            1960 E. Grand Avenue
  6
            Suite 1210
            El Segundo, CA 90245
  7
  8
 9
      FOR DEFENDANT:
10
            THE PIETZ LAW FIRM
            BY: MORGAN E. PIETZ
            3770 Highland Avenue
11
            Suite 206
12
            Manhattan Beach, CA 90266
13
            -and-
14
            NICHOLAS RANALLO LAW OFFICES
            BY: NICHOLAS R. RANALLO
371 Dogwood Way
15
            Boulder Creek, CA 95006
16
17
18
      SPECIALLY APPEARING:
19
            KLINEDINST LAW OFFICES
            BY: HEATHER ROSING 501 W. Broadway
20
            Suite 600
21
            San Diego, CA 92101
22
23
24
25
                                                                            3
 1
                                  INDEX
 2
 3
      WITNESS NAME
                                                     PAGE
 4
     Alan Cooper
           Direct Examination by the Court
Direct Examination by Mr. Pietz
Cross-Examination by Mr. Brodsky
                                                       21
                                                       26
 6
      Bart Huffman
 7
           Direct Examination by Mr. Pietz
                                                       39
 8
      Benjamin Fox
           Direct Examination by Mr. Pietz
                                                       45
 9
      Jessie Nason
10
           Direct Examination by Mr. Pietz
                                                       52
                                  Page 2
```

	THE TYGEOSTITS EXC									
11	Brad Gibbs Direct Examination by Mr. Waxler 73									
12	12 Cross-Examination by Mr. Pietz 105									
13										
14	EXHIBIT I.D. IN EVID.									
15	1 36 37 2 36 37									
16	3,4,5 6,7 43 44									
17	36 37 3,4,5 6,7 43 44 8 50 9 56									
18	10 67 67 11 68 68									
19	12 73 73 13 107 107									
20	14 108 108 15,16,17,18 110 110									
21										
22										
23										
24										
25										
	4									
1	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA; MONDAY, MARCH 11, 2013									
2	1:38 P.M.									
3										
4										
5										
6	THE CLERK: Calling Item No. 4, CV 12-8333-ODW,									
7	CV 12-6662, ODW, CV 12-6668, Ingenuity 13 LLC versus John									
8	Doe, additionally, CV 12-6636 ODW, CV 12-6669, AF									
9	Holdings LLC versus John Doe.									
10	Counsel, please state your appearances.									
11	MR. WAXLER: Andrew Waxler, your Honor, and Barry									
12	Brodsky for Mr. Gibbs who is present in the courtroom.									
13	Thank you.									
14	THE COURT: Good afternoon, counsel.									
15	MR. PIETZ: Good afternoon, your Honor. Morgan									

Page 3

- 14 they didn't file income taxes because they were not
- 15 required in where they were domiciled, but you may be
- 16 right and I may be wrong.
- 17 THE COURT: No. He quite clearly said they have
- 18 not filed income taxes anywhere.
- 19 MR. WAXLER: I understand that. I just thought it
- 20 was a different reason for not filing them.
- 21 THE COURT: Well, probably because they don't do
- 22 anything, do they?
- MR. WAXLER: Well, they in hearing from Mr -- in
- 24 reading from what Mr. Hansmeier says, they obviously own
- 25 valid copyrights, and those entities retain law firms

16

- 1 like Prenda Law, apparently, to file actions such as the
- 2 ones that are at issue today.
- 3 THE COURT: They retain firms? Seriously?
- 4 You can hardly keep a straight face, can you?
- 5 MR. WAXLER: No, your Honor.
- 6 THE COURT: These entities were basically created
- 7 by these lawyers; right? They have no business. They
- 8 have no employees. They have no function really. They
- 9 are not even really a shell, are they?
- 10 MR. WAXLER: I don't know, your Honor.
- 11 THE COURT: The law firms are basically
- 12 prosecuting these actions on their own behalf, aren't
- 13 they?

- 14 MR. WAXLER: Mr. Gibbs never had any client
- 15 contact with those clients. Mr. Gibbs received
- 16 information from Mr. Hansmeier and Mr. Steele, and those
- 17 individuals advised Mr. Gibbs that they had talked to the
- 18 clients.

intrigue031113 txt Hansmeier and Steele, are those the 19 THE COURT: individuals to whom you refer in your papers to as the 20 21 senior partners in the law firm. 22 MR. WAXLER: Yes, they are. 23 THE COURT: I have another question. Does 24 Mr. Gibbs have an indemnity or hold harmless agreement 25 from these senior partners? Or is he out there on his 17 1 own? 2 MR. WAXLER: He has no hold harmless agreement 3 from these partners that I am aware of. 4 THE COURT: Okay. All right. 5 MR. WAXLER: He was an of counsel, W -- 1099. 6 independent contractor for Prenda Law. 7 THE COURT: All right. Now, the court is coming 8 to the conclusion, and this is why it has been wonderful 9 to have someone here to disabuse me of the notion that 10 all of these lawsuits are being prosecuted on behalf of the lawyers, that all of the settlement funds inure 11 solely to the benefit of the lawyers because not dime 12 13 one has been transmitted to AF Holdings or to Ingenuity 14 13. 15 Now, if there is information to rebut that, I 16 would love to hear it. But, otherwise, that is what I am 17 stuck with. So now I am wondering why is it that no disclosure has been made in this court and probably in 18 none of the federal courts that the lawyers have a 19 20 pecuniary interest in the outcome of these cases? 21 MR. WAXLER: I don't believe that that is what 22 Mr. Gibbs understands the case to be. The fact that the 23 settlement funds were not transmitted as of yet to those 24 entities doesn't mean those settlement funds aren't being

Page 14

n	25	held in	trust f	for t	hose	entities.	Mr.	Gibbs	has	no	
U											18

- 1 information whatsoever, your Honor, to understand
- 2 anything different than what I just described.
- 3 MR. BRODSKY: Your Honor, may I interject one
- 4 point?
- 5 THE COURT: Sure. Your name again?
- 6 MR. BRODSKY: Barry Brodsky.
- 7 THE COURT: All right. Go ahead, sir.
- 8 MR. BRODSKY: My understanding and it is only from
- 9 reading the same deposition transcript was that those
- 10 funds remained in the trust accounts of the various law
- 11 firms that were representing the companies to defray
- 12 future expenses.
- 13 THE COURT: And what were those expenses other
- 14 than filing fees?
- 15 MR. BRODSKY: I would assume they would be filing
- 16 fees, investigative fees, you know, basically that.
- 17 THE COURT: To -- okay.
- 18 MR. BRODSKY: But that is just my reading of the
- 19 deposition.
- THE COURT: Okay. And after that is done, then
- 21 what?

П

- 22 MR. BRODSKY: Apparently -- well, we don't know
- 23 where that trail ends, whether that trail has ended. But
- 24 we do know this. We know that none of those funds
- 25 reached Mr. Gibbs.

- 1 THE COURT: And we also know none of those funds
- 2 reached Ingenuity 13 and AF Holdings.
- 3 MR. BRODSKY: Apparently, from Mr. Hansmeier's

Page 15

```
intrique031113 txt
     testimony, that is correct.
 4
 5
            THE COURT: Who was the corporate designee, the
     30(b)(6) designee for AF Holdings; right?
 6
 7
            MR. BRODSKY: Yes.
 8
            THE COURT: And none of those funds ever reached
 9
     AF Holdings.
10
            MR. BRODSKY: According to him, that's correct.
            THE COURT: All these lawsuits settled on behalf
11
     of AF Holdings; right? But they reside in the law firm's
12
13
     trust account.
14
                          Some obviously were settled, yes.
            MR. BRODSKY:
15
            THE COURT: You know what was really interesting,
16
     a lawsuit handled by law firm A, the settlement funds
     then are transmitted to law firm B's trust account, law
17
     firm B being controlled by Mr. Steele. I don't know. I
18
19
     just find these things curious.
20
                All right. Any other light to be shed on some
21
     of the court's concerns with respect to this foolishness
22
     here because -- by the way, is there a Mr. Cooper here?
23
            MR. PIETZ: Your Honor, Mr. Cooper is in
24
     attendance today, and I believe prepared to confirm that
25
     these documents are founded on forgeries.
                                                                20
 1
            THE COURT: Is there an Alan Cooper in the
 2
     courtroom? Don't be shy. Come forward, sir.
 3
           (The witness was sworn.)
 4
            THE CLERK: Thank you. Have a seat.
 5
            THE COURT: By the way, while we are on the
     subject, is there a Mark Lutz in the courtroom as well?
 6
 7
                Is either Hansmeier in the courtroom?
            MS. ROSING: Your Honor, I am the attorney
 8
 9
     specially appearing for them and if I could finish my
                             Page 16
```

- 10 request?
- 11 THE COURT: I just want to know if they are here.
- 12 MS. ROSING: They are not physically here, your
- 13 Honor?
- 14 THE COURT: Thank you. Good.
- MR. PIETZ: Your Honor, my understanding was that
- 16 Ms. Rosing was representing one of the Hansmeiers. Is
- 17 that different, or are you also representing Peter
- 18 Hansmeier?
- 19 MS. ROSING: I did not have an opportunity to say,
- 20 but I do not represent Peter Hansmeier.
- 21 THE COURT: I didn't think you would be. The
- 22 technician? I didn't think you would be.
- 23 MR. WAXLER: Your Honor, while those individuals
- 24 are not present, my understanding is they are available
- 25 by phone.

21

- 1 THE COURT: Is that right. Okay. I may take them
- 2 up on that. Maybe. Anyway.

3

0

- 4 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 5 BY THE COURT:
- 6 Q Mr. Cooper, your name is Alan Cooper?
- 7 A Yes, sir.
- 8 Q And where do you reside, sir?
- 9 A Isle, Minnesota.
- 10 Q Isle, Minnesota. Do you have any connection -- let
- 11 me just ask you specifically, do you have any connection
- 12 with Mr. Gibbs?
- 13 A No, sir.
- 14 Q Ever met Mr. Gibbs before?

Page 17

- 15 A No.
- 16 Q What about Paul Hansmeier, any connection with him?
- 17 A No.
- 18 Q Ever meet him before?
- 19 A No.
- 20 Q What about John Steele?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q What was your connection with Mr. Steele?
- 23 A I was a caretaker for a piece of property that he
- 24 had in Northern Minnesota.
- 25 Q And when was this?

D

- 1 A I think from 2006 till last August.
- 2 Q You worked for him from 2006 until August of 2012?
- 3 A No, I did not work for him. I was a caretaker for
- 4 his piece of property. He had two houses. I lived in
- 5 one and then took care of everything else there.
- 6 Q Okay. And he paid you?
- 7 A No.
- 8 Q Who paid you?
- 9 A There was no pay. It was I lived in the one house,
- 10 and I took care of everything on the property for free.
- 11 Q Or in exchange for a place to live?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q All right. So you didn't have to pay for your
- 14 housing; correct?
- 15 A Correct.
- 16 Q So in exchange for housing on the property, you
- 17 took care of his property?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q And this was a deal you negotiated with Mr. Steele?
- 20 A Yes.

Page 18