1 2

¹ The deadline may be extended by three days under Rule 6(d) if service was not performed under Rule 5(b)(2)(A) or (B).

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

INGENUITY 13 LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

JOHN DOE,

Defendant.

Case No. 2:12-cv-8333-ODW(JCx)

ORDER RE STATUS CONFERENCE

On December 26, 2012, the Court granted John Doe's ex parte application for early discovery, allowing John Doe to propound—prior to a Rule 26(f) conference—the 15 interrogatories and 5 document requests listed in its moving papers. (ECF Nos. 32, 23.) This discovery was to be served within 15 days from the date of the Order, and must be answered in the 30-day timeframe allotted under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 33 and 34. The Court calculates the latest possible date for this answer to be February 12, 2013.

Accordingly, the Court hereby **ORDERS** the parties to jointly prepare a status report, briefly indicating whether this early discovery was propounded and whether an answer was given in response. This status report must be filed by February 19, 2013. In addition, the parties are hereby **ORDERED** to appear for a status conference on March 4, 2013, at 1:30 p.m., to discuss the status of this early discovery.

If the parties indicate in their status report that the early discovery has been properly propounded and answered, the Court will vacate the March 4, 2013 status conference.

Failure to comply with this order or failure to appear for the scheduled status conference may result in sanctions, including monetary sanctions.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 28, 2013

OTIS D. WRIGHT, II UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE