¢	ase 2:12-cv-08333-ODW-JC Document 75-4	4 Filed 03/08/13 Page 1 of 3 Page ID #:2046
1 2 3 4 5 6 7		DISTRICT COURT
8	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9 10	INGENUITY 13, LLC, a Limited Liability Company Organized Under the Laws of the Federation of Saint	Case Number: 2:12-cv-08333-ODW-JC Case Assigned to:
11	Kitts and Nevis,	District Judge Otis D Wright, II
12	Plaintiff,	Discovery Referred to:
13 14		Magistrate Judge Jacqueline Chooljian
15 16	JOHN DOE,	Case Consolidated with Case Nos.: 2:12-cv-6636; 2:12-cv-6669; 2:12-cv- 6662; 2:12-cv-6668
 117 118 119 20 21 22 	Defendant.	[PROPOSED] ORDER DENYING EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR ORDER WITHDRAWING ORDER FOR JOHN STEELE, PAUL HANSEMEIER, PAUL DUFFY, AND ANGELA VAN DEN HEMEL TO APPEAR
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	- <u> </u> -	
	ORDER	

<u>ORDER</u>

The Court, having duly considered the "Ex Parte Application for Order Withdrawing Order for John Steele, Paul Hansemeier, Paul Duffy, and Angela Van Den Hemel to Appear" (the "**Application**") filed by specially appearing counsel, and the opposition thereto, hereby rules as follows:

(a) Personal Jurisdiction

John Steele has sent at least one demand letters into the State of California, seeking to pressure Internet users into settling copyright infringement claims. Dec'l of Nicholas Ranallo, Exh. 1. Further, Mr. Steele has conducted media interviews, with California publications, about his California cases. *See*

http://www.sfgate.com/business/article/Lawsuit-says-grandma-illegally-

downloaded-porn-2354720.php. Accordingly, both general and specific jurisdiction
 exists over John Steele.

Paul Hansemeier travelled to San Francisco California to appear as the 30(b)(6) deponent of AF Holdings, LLC in a case pending in the Northern District of California. *See* ECF No. 69. Accordingly, both general and specific jurisdiction exists over Paul Hansemeier.

Paul Duffy is a member of the State Bar of California.¹ Further, he substituted in as counsel of record in various AF Holdings and Ingenuity 13 cases pending in the Northern District of California (*see e.g.,* <u>Exhibit EE</u>²) and has attempted, at his own initiative, to meet and confer regarding this case, C.D. Cal. 12cv-8333 (*see* <u>Exhibit P</u>). *See also* ECF No. 52, p. 10. Accordingly, both general and specific personal jurisdiction exists over California courts for Paul Duffy.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

² The <u>Exhibit</u> lettering used herein refers to the <u>Exhibits</u> to prior declarations previously filed in this case *see* ECF Nos. 40-1; 40-2; 53-1; 53-2; 59-1; 59-2.

 <sup>15
 16
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28</sup>

¹ See <u>http://members.calbar.ca.gov/fal/Member/Detail/224159</u>

Angela Van Den Hemel was identified by Mr. Gibbs as being the person who violated this Court's discovery order. Accordingly, specific jurisdiction exists over Angela Van Den Hemel.

(b) Time

The Court finds the argument that the amount of time for the moving parties to respond unpersuasive.

Accordingly, the Court DENIES the Application. The moving parties are ordered to appear at the Monday March 11, 2013 hearing in this matter.

DATED: March 8, 2013

Honorable Otis D. Wright, II United States District Judge